



# Banca Popolare di Sondrio

Società cooperativa per azioni - fondata nel 1871  
Sede sociale e direzione generale: I - 23100 Sondrio So - Piazza Garibaldi 16  
Iscritta al Registro delle Imprese di Sondrio al n. 00053810149  
Iscritta all'Albo delle Banche al n. 842  
Capogruppo del Gruppo bancario Banca Popolare di Sondrio, iscritto all'Albo dei Gruppi bancari al n. 5696.0  
Iscritta all'Albo delle Società Cooperative al n. A160536  
Aderente al Fondo Interbancario di Tutela dei Depositi  
Codice fiscale e Partita IVA: 00053810149  
Capitale Sociale € 1.360.157.331 - Riserve € € 983.893.092  
(dati approvati dall'Assemblea dei soci del 27/4/2019)

## PRESS RELEASE

**Fitch Ratings places some of Banca Popolare di Sondrio's ratings on Rating Watch Negative (RWN), in light of the significant deterioration of the macroeconomic environment due to the COVID-19 outbreak and downgrades the Long-term Subordinated debt, in application of the newly adopted methodology.**

Today the credit rating agency Fitch Ratings has placed on Rating Watch Negative Banca Popolare di Sondrio's following ratings: the Long-term Issuer Default Rating, the Viability Rating, the Long-term Deposit Rating, the Long-term Senior preferred Rating and the Long-term Subordinated debt Rating.

The decision largely reflects the significant deterioration of the macroeconomic environment due to the COVID-19 outbreak.

Furthermore, Fitch Ratings, in application of its new methodology published on the 28th of February 2020 to incorporate the regulatory evolution regarding the resolution of banks, has downgraded the tier 2 "Long-term subordinated debt" of Banca Popolare di Sondrio from BB to BB-. This type of debt is thus removed from the under criteria observation (UCO) *status* in which was placed on the 4th of March 2020 and from today is on Rating Watch Negative, as mentioned above.

In light of today's decision the updated situation of the ratings assigned by Fitch Ratings to Banca Popolare di Sondrio is the following:

Rating type	Previous Rating	Rating action	Current rating	Rating Watch
Long-term issuer default	BB+	Rating watch on	BB+	Negative

Viability	bb+	Rating watch on	bb+	Negative
Long-term senior preferred	BB+	Rating watch on	BB+	Negative
Long-term deposits	BBB-	Rating watch on	BBB-	Negative
Long-term subordinated debt	BB	Downgrade	BB-	Negative
Short-term issuer default	B	Affirmed	B	
Support Rating	5	Affirmed	5	
Support Rating floor	NF	Affirmed	NF	

Please find attached the document published by Fitch Ratings.

Sondrio, 24 March 2020

BANCA POPOLARE DI SONDRIO SCPA

**COMPANY CONTACTS:**

Investor Relations

Dott. Michele Minelli

0342-528.745

michele.minelli@popso.it

External Relations

Rag. Paolo Lorenzini

0342-528.212

paolo.lorenzini@popso.it

Company website: [www.popso.it](http://www.popso.it)

*The English translation is provided only for the benefit of the reader. In the case of discrepancies the Italian version will prevail.*



## Fitch Takes Action on 14 Italian Banking Groups On Coronavirus Disruption

Fitch Ratings - Milan - 24 March 2020:

Fitch Ratings has taken the rating actions on 14 banking groups following the coronavirus disruption in Italy as detailed below.

While the ultimate economic and financial market implications of the coronavirus pandemic are unclear, Fitch considers the risks to banks' credit profiles to be clearly skewed to the downside and this has driven the rating actions. Fitch's baseline is for Italian GDP to contract by 2.0% this year (a negative 2.2% swing from our February forecast), before recovering in 2021.

Fiscal support measures for the private sector and financial markets have mixed first order implications for banks. Italian government guarantees on loans to SMEs will support borrowers' viability and hence banks' asset quality. Mortgage loan relief programmes will have negative first order implications for banks, but compensation by the state for direct COVID-19 related losses appears to be approved by EU state aid authorities, so it is probable that the full financial impact for banks will ultimately be mitigated.

Nonetheless, we expect asset quality to weaken relative to our previous expectations and for earnings challenges to intensify due to weaker business volumes and rising loan impairment charges. Higher wholesale funding costs (a combination of Italian spread widening and bank debt re-pricing) represent an additional downside risk in the event monetary policy support packages, including the ECB's EUR750 billion Pandemic Emergency Purchase Programme (PEPP), fail to mitigate rising funding costs.

We consider the risks to funding profiles to be a longer-term (eg term debt refinancing and market issuance), rather than near-term risk, given limited use by Italian banks of short-term wholesale funding and extensive monetary policy support facilities, including targeted longer-term refinancing operations (TLTRO) and the PEPP. Larger banks are more vulnerable to large corporates drawing down on their credit facilities. Lower rated banks are more at risk of their deposit franchises coming under pressure in the event of adverse news-flow and are likely to be the last to access wholesale funding markets again.

### Key Rating Drivers

#### INTESA SAN PAOLO S.p.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Intesa and its subsidiaries are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in November 2019 (Fitch Affirms Intesa Sanpaolo at 'BBB'; Outlook Negative).

We have affirmed Intesa's Long-Term IDR with a Negative Outlook and its Viability Rating (VR) because we believe the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a medium-term risk to the ratings. However, the bank enters the economic downturn from a relative position of strength, given its leading franchise in Italy, diverse and stable business model, less cyclical earnings generation than domestic peers, sound capitalisation and strong funding and liquidity. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus

outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of risk appetite, earnings and funding relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has downgraded Intesa's Tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB+' and removed it from Under Criteria Observation (UCO) to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

We have upgraded the AT1 debt by one notch to 'BB-' and removed it from UCO to reflect a change in baseline notching to four notches (from the previous five) from the VR, reflecting a reduction in incremental non-performance risk relative to our previous assumptions. Our assessment is based on the bank operating with a common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio that is comfortably above maximum distributable amount (MDA) thresholds and our expectation that this will continue.

#### UNICREDIT S.p.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for UniCredit and its subsidiaries are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in November 2019 (Fitch Affirms UniCredit at 'BBB'; Outlook Negative).

Fitch has affirmed UniCredit's Long-Term IDR with a Negative Outlook and its VR because we believe the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a medium-term risk to UniCredit's ratings. However, the bank enters the economic downturn from a relative position of strength, given its improved financial performance over the past three years, which includes a reduction in impaired loans, a gradual recovery in operating profitability, maintaining satisfactory capital buffers over regulatory minimum requirements and the completion of its total loss absorbing capacity (TLAC) and minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL) funding plans.

While UniCredit's ratings benefit from sound franchises in the regions where it operates, its risk profile is influenced by its large operations in Italy. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks, primarily to our assessment of risk appetite, earnings and funding relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has downgraded UniCredit's senior non-preferred (SNP) debt by one notch to 'BBB-' and removed from UCO to reflect the risk of below average recoveries arising from the use of more senior debt to meet resolution buffer requirements, and the combined buffer of AT1, Tier 2 and SNP debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of risk-weighted assets.

We have downgraded the tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB+' and removed it from UCO to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

Fitch has upgraded the AT1 debt by one notch to 'BB-' and removed it from UCO to reflect a change in baseline notching to four notches (from the previous five) from the VR, reflecting a reduction in incremental non-performance risk relative to our previous assumptions. Our assessment is based on the bank operating with a CET1 ratio that is comfortably above MDA thresholds and our expectation that this will continue.

#### MEDIOBANCA S.p.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Mediobanca are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in February 2020 (Fitch Affirms Mediobanca at 'BBB'; Outlook Negative).

Fitch has affirmed Mediobanca's Long-Term IDR with a Negative Outlook and its VR because we believe the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a medium-term risk to Mediobanca's ratings. However, the bank enters the economic downturn from a relative position of strength thanks to its sound capitalisation,

and better than domestic peers' profitability and asset quality, which proved resilient through the economic cycle. Mediobanca's financial performance is underpinned by its specialised business model with strong competitive positions in selected businesses. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of risk appetite, asset quality, earnings and funding relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

We have downgraded Mediobanca's SNP debt by one notch to 'BBB-' and removed it from UCO to reflect the risk of below average recoveries arising from the use of more senior debt to meet resolution buffer requirements and the combine buffer of AT1, Tier 2 and SNP debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of risk-weighted assets.

We have downgraded the Tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB+' and removed it from UCO to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

### CREDITO EMILIANO (CREDEM)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Credem are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in May 2019 (Fitch Affirms Credito Emiliano at 'BBB'/Negative; Downgrades Short-Term IDR to 'F3').

Fitch has affirmed Credem's Long-Term IDR with a Negative Outlook and VR because we believe the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a medium-term risk to Credem's ratings. However, the bank enters the economic downturn from a relative position of strength underpinned by its more stringent risk appetite than domestic peers and prudent management stance, which resulted in asset quality remaining consistently better than peers through the cycle and adequate capitalisation. A fairly diversified and stable business model has to date provided it with some earnings stability. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of risk appetite, asset quality and earnings relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has upgraded Credem's Long-Term Deposit Rating by one notch above the Long-Term IDR to 'BBB+' and removed it from UCO to reflect the protection that will accrue to it from more junior bank resolution debt and equity buffers. This is because we expect the bank will comply with MREL targets.

We have downgraded the SNP debt by one notch to 'BBB-' and removed it from UCO to reflect the risk of below average recoveries arising from the use of more senior debt to meet resolution buffer requirements and the combined buffer of AT1, Tier 2 and SNP debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of risk-weighted assets.

Fitch has downgraded the tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB+' and removed it from UCO to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

### BANCA NAZIONALE DEL LAVORO S.p.A. (BNL)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for BNL are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in March 2020 (Fitch Affirms Banca Nazionale del Lavoro at 'BBB+'; Outlook Negative).

Fitch has placed BNL's VR on Rating Watch Negative (RWN) because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to the rating since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of relative weakness given its below-average asset quality and profitability and margins. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite, asset quality and earnings relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has affirmed BNL's senior debt programme and long-term deposit ratings at 'BBB+', in line with its Long-Term IDR, and removed the ratings from UCO because we do not believe that internal resolution debt and equity buffers would offer obvious incremental protection to these debt classes over and above the institutional support benefit already factored into the bank's IDRs, given that the latter are constrained by country risk considerations.

#### UNIONE DI BANCHE ITALIANE S.p.A.(UBI)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for UBI are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in February 2020 (Fitch Places UBI on Rating Watch Positive on Exchange Offer Announcement).

We have maintained UBI's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on Rating Watch Positive (RWP) because the key rating driver for UBI remains the heightened probability that it will become part of a stronger group and could therefore benefit from institutional support from higher-rated Intesa as its majority shareholder in case of need. The RWP on the VR reflects the potential benefits to the bank's standalone profile from being acquired by Intesa. Nonetheless, we believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of risk appetite, including if it becomes part of Intesa, relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has downgraded UBI's SNP debt by one notch to 'BB+' /RWP and removed it from UCO to reflect the risk of below average recoveries arising from the use of more senior debt to meet resolution buffer requirements and the combined buffer of AT1, Tier 2 and SNP debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of risk-weighted assets. We have maintained UBI's SNP debt rating on RWP.

Fitch has downgraded the tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB' /RWP and removed it from UCO to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch. UBI's Tier 2 debt has been maintained on RWP.

**BANCA POPOLARE DI SONDRIO** Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Sondrio are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in June 2019 (Fitch Downgrades Banca Popolare di Sondrio to 'BB+'; Outlook Stable).

Fitch has placed Sondrio's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to Sondrio's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of relative weakness given its more limited progress than domestic peers to date in improving asset quality and the resulting pressure this has on its acceptable capitalisation and operating profitability. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite, asset quality and earnings relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has downgraded Sondrio's tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB-', removed it from UCO and placed it on RWN to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

#### BANCA POPOLARE DELL'ALTO ADIGE (VOLKSBANK)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Volksbank are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in May 2019 (Fitch Affirms Banca Popolare dell'Alto Adige at 'BB+'; Outlook Stable).

We have placed Volksbank's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to Volksbank's ratings. The bank enters the economic downturn from a position of relative weakness given its less diverse business model compared to higher-rated domestic peers and regionally concentrated franchise in the province of Bolzano. The economy in

this region tends to outperform the national one but is dependent on tourist flows, including from neighbouring Austria and Germany, and on exports to these countries. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite, asset quality, earnings and capital relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Fitch has downgraded Volksbank's tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB-', removed it from UCO and placed it on RWN to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

#### BANCO DI DESIO E DELLA BRIANZA (DESIO)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Desio are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in May 2019 (Fitch Affirms Banco di Desio e della Brianza at 'BBB-/Stable).

We have placed Desio's Long-and-Short-Term IDRs, VR and deposit ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to Desio's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of modest profitability and a business model that we consider particularly sensitive to interest rate and economic cycles. Desio's operating profitability and asset quality could face significant strain given the bank's presence in areas that are most affected by the initial shutdown. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite, asset quality, earnings and capital relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

The RWN on Desio's Short-Term IDR is in line with the RWN on the Long-Term IDR.

Banca IFIS (IFIS) Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for IFIS are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in May 2019 (Fitch Affirms Banca IFIS at 'BB+'; Outlook Stable).

Fitch has placed IFIS's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to IFIS's ratings, since its business model reliance on non-performing loan (NPL) purchase and SME lending to generate earnings could expose the bank to significant downside risks from materially lower volumes, worsened recovery expectations as well as higher NPL inflows from its SME lending. This could ultimately put pressure on capital. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of risk appetite, asset quality, earnings and capital relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

We have removed IFIS's 'BB+' senior debt rating from UCO and placed it on RWN, in line with its Long-Term IDR, since despite the bank does not have MREL, it plans to operate with combined buffers of senior preferred and Tier 2 debt above 10% of its RWAs. At end-2019 IFIS had senior preferred and Tier 2 debt outstanding equal to around 15% of its RWAs.

We have downgraded the Tier 2 debt by one notch to 'BB-', removed it from UCO and placed it on RWN to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

#### BPER Banca (BPER)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for BPER are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in April 2019 (Fitch Affirms BPER Banca at 'BB'; Outlook Positive).

Fitch has placed BPER's Long-Term IDR, VR and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to BPER's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of relative weakness given its still weaker than average asset quality, despite recent progress in reducing NPLs and capital encumbrance from their unreserved portion, and the pressure this has had on

operating profitability, including from having to strengthen loan loss allowances to be able to dispose of these loans. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite and asset quality while eliminating the upward potential for our assessment of earnings and capital relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

We have upgraded BPER's long-term deposit rating by one notch above the Long-Term IDR to 'BB+', removed it from UCO and placed it on RWN to reflect the protection that will accrue to it from more junior bank resolution debt and equity buffers. This is because we expect the bank will comply with MREL targets.

We have downgraded the Tier 2 debt by one notch to 'B+', removed it from UCO and placed it on RWN to reflect the change in baseline notching for loss-severity to two notches (from one previously) from the VR since the bank does not meet the specific conditions under our criteria for applying one notch.

#### Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena (MPS)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for MPS are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in July 2019 (Fitch Affirms Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena at 'B'; Outlook Stable).

Fitch has placed MPS's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to MPS's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of weakness as MPS has not fully completed its restructuring that follow years of financial difficulties. The bank still has a large stock of impaired loans compared with the domestic average despite the significant derisking in recent years and has not regained competitiveness and restored its profitability to more acceptable and sustainable levels. Its capitalisation remains exposed to high unreserved impaired loans and large, albeit reduced, stock of Italian government bonds. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite and earnings relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

Our assessment of asset quality and capitalisation reflect potential downside risk from the fallout of the coronavirus but also upside potential that could come from the disposal of a large portion of its impaired loans to state-owned asset management company AMCO. Italy's Ministry of Finance has reportedly been in negotiations with the European Commission (EC) for months to ensure compliance of the transaction with state-aid rules.

MPS's Long-Term deposit rating remains on UCO pending further analysis on expected recoveries.

#### Banca Carige S.p.A. - Cassa di Risparmio di Genova e Imperia (CARIGE)

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for Carige are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in January 2019 (Fitch Upgrades Carige to 'B-'; Outlook Stable).

We have placed Carige's Long-Term IDR, VR, deposit and debt ratings on RWN because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis represents a near-term risk to Carige's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of weakness given its restructuring has only just started following its rescue in late 2019 and several quarters of financial stress that materially damaged its franchise and commercial effectiveness. We believe the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak creates additional downside risks to our assessment of management and strategy and risk appetite relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings. It also reduces the upside that we were previously expecting on asset quality and earnings.

The RWN on Carige's Short-Term IDR is in line with the RWN on the Long-Term IDR.

Carige's Long-Term deposit rating remains on UCO pending further analysis on expected recoveries.



## Gruppo Bancario Cooperativo Iccrea (GBCI) AND SUBSIDIARIES

Unless noted below, the key rating drivers for GBCI are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in March 2020 (Fitch Affirms Gruppo Bancario Cooperativo Iccrea at 'BB', Outlook Stable).

We have downgraded GBCI's Long-Term, IDR, VR and debt ratings and assigned a Negative Outlook because the economic fallout from the coronavirus crisis results in heightened risks to GBCI's ratings, since the bank enters the economic downturn from a position of significant weakness given its below-average asset quality and fragile profitability compared with that of peers, both factors being key rating drivers. Profitability weaknesses result from a less diversified business model and weak cost efficiency. We have reflected the highly likely impact of the economic and financial market fallout from the coronavirus outbreak in a weaker assessment of management and strategy, risk appetite and asset quality relative to when we last reviewed the bank's ratings.

### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

With the exception of BNL, whose IDRs are driven by expectations of support from BNP Paribas S.A., the most immediate downside rating sensitivity for banks' IDRs, VRs and debt ratings relates to the economic and financial market fallout arising from the coronavirus outbreak as this represents a clear risk to our assessment of asset quality, earnings, capitalisation and funding. The extent to which government and central bank support packages can mitigate rating pressure on banks' ratings will depend on the amount and form such support takes.

### **INTESA AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Intesa has headroom to emerge with its IDRs, VR, debt and deposit ratings intact due to the relative strength of its company profile, capitalisation, earnings and funding profile, which are all in line with or above its VR. However, this outcome will depend on the ultimate depth and duration of the coronavirus shock to the Italian economy. Intesa's ratings would likely be downgraded if prospects that the health crisis is resolved globally in 2H20 become less likely, which would make a sharp recovery in global growth in 2021 more remote. Under Fitch's downside scenario, which is based on a widespread global lockdown due to COVID-19, Italy's GDP would contract by 2.3% in 2020 before seeing a sharp recovery in 2021. A delay to this sharp recovery would likely result in more permanent damage to the bank's capital and asset quality, which would be difficult to restore within a short period of time. Intesa's domestic focus means that its ratings would be downgraded if Italy (BBB/Negative) was downgraded. For Intesa's ratings to be upgraded, Italy would need to be upgraded.

The AT1 ratings could be downgraded if non-performance risk increases relative to the risk captured in the bank's VR, for example if capital buffers over regulatory requirements are eroded. The senior preferred debt ratings could be upgraded if Intesa is expected to meet its resolution buffer requirements with SNP and more junior instruments. The Short-Term IDR and debt ratings could be downgraded if the bank's funding and liquidity profile weakens from the current 'bbb+' level.

### **UNICREDIT AND SUBSIDIARIES**

UniCredit has headroom to emerge with its IDRs, VR, debt and deposit ratings intact due to the relative strength of its company profile, risk appetite, capitalisation and funding profile, which are all in line with or above its VR. However, this outcome will depend on the ultimate depth and duration of the coronavirus shock to the Italian economy. UniCredit's ratings would likely be downgraded if prospects that the health crisis is resolved globally in 2H20 become less likely, which would make a sharp recovery in global growth in 2021 more remote. Under Fitch's downside scenario, which is based on a widespread global lockdown due to COVID-19, Italy's GDP would contract by 2.3% in 2020 before seeing a sharp recovery in 2021. A delay to this sharp recovery

would likely result in more permanent damage to the bank's capital and asset quality, which would be difficult to restore within a short period of time, places and exercise sustained pressure on earnings. UniCredit's large operations in Italy mean that its ratings would be downgraded if Italy was downgraded. For UniCredit's ratings to be upgraded, Italy would need to be upgraded.

The AT1 ratings could be downgraded if non-performance risk increases relative to the risk captured in the bank's VR, for example if capital buffers over regulatory requirements are eroded. The senior preferred debt ratings could be upgraded if UniCredit is expected to meet its resolution buffer requirements with SNP and more junior instruments. The Short-Term IDRs and debt ratings could be downgraded if the bank's funding and liquidity profile weakens from the current 'bbb+' level.

## MEDIOBANCA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Mediobanca has headroom to emerge with its IDRs, VR, debt and deposit ratings intact due to the relative strength of its asset quality, capitalisation and funding profile, which are all in line with or above its VR. However, this outcome will depend on the ultimate depth and duration of the coronavirus shock to the Italian economy. Mediobanca's ratings would likely be downgraded if prospects that the health crisis is resolved globally in 2H20 become less likely, which would make a sharp recovery in global growth in 2021 more remote. Under Fitch's downside scenario, which is based on a widespread global lockdown due to COVID-19, Italy's GDP would contract by 2.3% in 2020 before seeing a sharp recovery in 2021. A delay to this sharp recovery would likely exercise sustained pressure on its earnings and result in a more permanent deterioration of asset quality given single-name concentration risk in its corporate exposure and sizeable consumer finance exposure through subsidiary Compass Banca, which would be difficult to restore within a short period of time. They would be downgraded if the economic and financial market disruption arising from the coronavirus outbreak is likely to reach a level that places sustained pressure on earnings, capitalisation and funding. Mediobanca's largely domestic focus means its ratings would be downgraded if Italy was downgraded. For Mediobanca's ratings to be upgraded, Italy would need to be upgraded.

## CREDEM

Credem has headroom to emerge with its IDRs, VR, debt and deposit ratings intact due to the relative strength of its asset quality, capitalisation and funding profile, which are all in line with its VR. However, this outcome will depend on the ultimate depth and duration of the coronavirus shock to the Italian economy. Credem's ratings would likely be downgraded if prospects that the health crisis is resolved globally in 2H20 become less likely, which would make a sharp recovery in global growth in 2021 more remote. Under Fitch's downside scenario, which is based on a widespread global lockdown due to COVID-19, Italy's GDP would contract by 2.3% in 2020 before seeing a sharp recovery in 2021. A delay to this sharp recovery would likely exercise sustained pressure on its earnings and could result in a more marked deterioration of its asset quality given its exposure to SMEs and small businesses despite its business model geared towards lower-risk clients and strong underwriting standards have to date resulted in Credem outperforming the domestic sector. Credem's ratings would also be downgraded if Italy was downgraded. For Credem's ratings to be upgraded, Italy would need to be upgraded.

## BNL

BNL's IDRs remain sensitive primarily to a change in Italy's sovereign rating and are likely to be downgraded if Italy is downgraded. The IDRs and Support Rating are also sensitive to a change in Fitch's assessment of BNPP's propensity and ability to provide support to BNL. If Italy's operating environment materially worsens, BNL's financial profile may negatively affect the parent group's and ultimately potentially reduce BNP Paribas S.A.'s commitments to support the Italian subsidiary.

The RWN on BNL's VR reflects the near-term risks to the rating arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The still above-average level of impaired loans and evident margin pressure resulting in weak profitability mean it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the

economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are i) an expected significant increase in impaired loans inflows; ii) an expected sustained reduction of its operating profitability; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings.

## UBI

The rating sensitivities for UBI are those outlined in our Rating Action Commentary published in February 2020 (Fitch Places UBI on Rating Watch Positive on Exchange Offer Announcement).

## SONDRIO

The RWN on Sondrio's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The still above-average level of impaired loans and the resulting pressure this has on its capitalisation and earnings means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are i) an expected significant increase in impaired loans inflows; ii) an expected sustained reduction of its operating profitability; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings. The ratings remain sensitive to a deterioration of the bank's funding and liquidity profile.

## VOLKSBANK

The RWN on Volksbank's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The less diverse business model compared with higher-rated domestic peers and regionally concentrated franchise in an area whose economic output is heavily dependent on tourism means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are i) an expected significant increase in impaired loans inflows; ii) an expected sustained deterioration of its operating profitability, potentially resulting into losses eroding capital; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption or complementary measures put in place by the Province of Bolzano where the bank is based will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings. The ratings remain sensitive to deterioration in the bank's funding and liquidity profile.

## DESIO

The RWN on Desio's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The modest profitability and more vulnerable business model heavily focused on SME lending means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are: i) sustained asset quality deterioration, especially if this results in higher capital encumbrance from unreserved impaired loans; ii) pressure on operating profitability from lower revenues and higher loan impairment charges; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the

COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings. The ratings remain sensitive to a deterioration of the bank's funding and liquidity profile.

## IFIS

The RWN on IFIS's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The vulnerable business model means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are: i) a sustained profitability deterioration as a result of reduced volumes and revenue generation from its key business segments; ii) a significant deterioration in recovery prospects for purchased NPLs and higher impaired loans formation from its lending activities; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings. The ratings remain sensitive to deterioration in the bank's funding and liquidity profile.

## BPER

The RWN on BPER's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The still weaker-than-average asset quality and modest operating profitability means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are: i) sustained asset-quality deterioration leading to an increase in capital encumbrance from unreserved NPLs; ii) a protracted and material weakening in the bank's earnings; or iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's asset quality and earnings.

## MPS

The RWN on MPS's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The still incomplete restructuring, large stock of impaired loans, weak profitability and vulnerable capitalisation means it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are: i) sustained asset-quality deterioration; ii) capital erosion on the back of credit losses; and iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. The ratings also remain sensitive to a deterioration of the bank's funding and liquidity profile, for example if the bank was subject to idiosyncratic stress and experience deposit outflows given it is still one of the weakest credit institutions in Italy. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings.

## CARIGE

The RWN on Carige's ratings reflects the near-term risks to its ratings arising from the coronavirus outbreak and the heightened probability we will downgrade the bank. The materially damaged franchise and unprofitable business model mean it has moderate rating headroom in the face of the economic disruption posed by the outbreak. We expect to resolve the RWN in the near term, when the impact of the outbreak on the bank's credit profile becomes more apparent. Potential downgrade triggers are: i) sustained asset-quality deterioration followed by an increase in capital encumbrance from unreserved NPLs; ii) sustained capital erosion through

losses; and iii) a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Italian economy in 2020. The ratings also remain sensitive to a deterioration of the bank's funding and liquidity profile, for example if the bank was subject to idiosyncratic stress and experience deposit outflows given its weak credit profile. In resolving the RWN, Fitch will seek to understand the extent to which compensation by the Italian government for direct losses from the COVID-19 disruption will cushion the financial impact on the bank's solvency, asset quality and earnings.

## GBCI

The Negative Outlook on GBCI's ratings reflects the risks to its ratings from the coronavirus outbreak. However, after the downgrade, GBCI has some rating headroom to emerge with its IDRs, VR, debt and deposit ratings intact due to the relative strength of its capitalisation and funding profile, which are above its VR. However, this outcome will depend on the ultimate depth and duration of the coronavirus shock to the Italian economy. GBCI's ratings would likely be downgraded if prospects that the health crisis is resolved globally in 2H20 become less likely, which would make a sharp recovery in global growth in 2021 more remote. Under Fitch's downside scenario, which is based on a widespread global lockdown due to COVID-19, Italy's GDP would contract by 2.3% in 2020 before seeing a sharp recovery in 2021. A delay to this sharp recovery would likely result in more permanent damage to asset quality and potentially to capital if the group was to report sustained losses. Both asset quality and capitalisation would be difficult to restore within a short period of time, particularly since we consider access to capital to be less certain given its cooperative group status.

For the Outlook to be revised to Stable, GBCI would have to show reasonable control over new impaired loan inflows, maintain unreserved impaired loans in relation to capital at controlled levels, capacity to defend its capital position and keep credit losses at manageable levels.

## Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings

Banca IMI's IDRs are driven by institutional support from Intesa Sanpaolo SpA. A change in Fitch's assessment of the ratings of Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A. would result in a change in the ratings of the related entity. The ratings of the debt issued by Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Ireland, Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Luxembourg, S.A. and Intesa Funding LLC are driven by the ratings of the guarantor (Intesa Sanpaolo SpA). A change in Fitch's assessment of the ratings of Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A. would result in a change in the ratings of the debt issued related entity.

The ratings of the debt issued by Mediobanca International (Luxembourg) SA are driven by the ratings of the guarantor (Mediobanca SpA). A change in Fitch's assessment of the ratings of Mediobanca S.p.A. would result in a change in the ratings of the debt issued related entity

The ratings of Banca Nazionale del Lavoro S.P.A. are directly linked to the ratings of BNP Paribas S.A.. A change in Fitch's assessment of the ratings of BNP Paribas S.A. may result in a change in the ratings of the related entity

## ESG Considerations

Carige has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Governance Structure, reflecting that at this stage, the effectiveness of the bank's future corporate governance and senior management is yet to be tested, which in conjunction with other factors, limits Fitch's assessment of the bank's credit profile.

Except for the matters discussed above, the highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3 - ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entities, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entities. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg).

## VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

## FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

### Primary Rating Analyst

Gianluca Romeo

Director

+39 02 879087 201

Fitch Italia Società Italiana per il rating, S.p.A.

Via Morigi, 6 Ingresso Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8

Milan 20123

### Primary Rating Analyst

Francesca Vasciminno

Senior Director

+39 02 879087 225

Fitch Italia Società Italiana per il rating, S.p.A.

Via Morigi, 6 Ingresso Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8

Milan 20123

### Secondary Rating Analyst

Paolo Comensoli

Senior Analyst

+39 02 879087 209

### Secondary Rating Analyst

Manuela Banfi

Associate Director

+39 02 879087 202

### Secondary Rating Analyst

Gianluca Romeo

Director

+39 02 879087 201

### Secondary Rating Analyst

Francesca Vasciminno

Senior Director

+39 02 879087 225

### Secondary Rating Analyst

Christian Scarafia

Senior Director

+44 20 3530 1012

### Committee Chairperson

Olivia Perney

Managing Director  
+33 1 44 29 91 74

## **MEDIA CONTACTS**

Louisa Williams  
London  
+44 20 3530 2452  
louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

Stefano Bravi  
Milan  
+39 02 879087 281  
stefano.bravi@fitchratings.com

## **Applicable Criteria**

Bank Rating Criteria (pub. 28 Feb 2020)

## **Additional Disclosures**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form  
Solicitation Status  
Endorsement Policy

## **DISCLAIMER**

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dam/jcr:6b03c4cd-611d-47ec-b8f1-183c01b51b08/Rating%20Definitions%20-%203%20May%202019%20v3%206-11-19.pdf> DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

## **COPYRIGHT**

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial



services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

## **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

## **Endorsement Policy**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

## **Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy**

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. [Learn more.](#)